

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,529	01/09/2006	Marc Verwaerde	05-32	9083
30030 JAMES R. WI	7590 06/08/2007 LLIAMS		EXAM	INER
3103 WILMIN	IGTON ROAD	,	TSO, LA	URA K
NEW CASTLI	E, PA 16105	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2875	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		îH	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/536,529	VERWAERDE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura Tso	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roun. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
· · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un-	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents.		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·	
application from the International B	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🕅 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 500	6) LJ Other:	·	

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 5/25/05 has been considered and placed of record. An initialed copy is attached herewith.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter claimed in claims 11 and 12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Art Unit: 2875

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 12 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claim 12, the alternative language used "and/or" does not define equivalent structure and therefore is indefinite. Regarding claim 13, there is not enough claimed structural relationship to cause the claimed effect and is therefore indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2875

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13, 15, 16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abbott (US 6,416,209). The reference discloses, *inter alia*, a vehicle having a fender 14 wherein a light housing 20 is mounted thereon. The light housing is designed to mount onto the fender without causing any blister to its surrounding. As an aside note, the claims are so broad that most operating vehicles in France and/or European country would have met the limitations claimed since these vehicles are required to have light repeaters on their fenders.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/536,529 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Abbott (US 6,416,209). The reference does not explicitly disclose the fender

having impact absorbing material. However it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed impact

absorbing material similar to the one used in the bumpers, since it has been held that

rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86

USPQ 70. Moreover, it would meet the new European requirement for a protecting

pedestrians upon impact.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at

the below-listed.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm,

EST.

By:

<u>/Laura Tso/</u>,

LAURA TSO Primary Examiner

(571) 272-2385